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1. Uncertainty in migration forecasting

• Uncertainty is immanent in every forecast about the future

• Besides, the sources of uncertainty usually include:
  – Data quality and availability;
  – Selection of the forecasting model;
  – Subjectivity of the assumptions.

• How uncertainty is dealt with in migration forecasting?
  – Ignored (deterministic models)
  – Acknowledged, but not quantified (variant projections)
  – Acknowledged and quantified (stochastic forecasts, with uncertainty measured in terms of probability)
Let $\theta$ denote unknown model parameters, and $x$ – data (observations). Then [Bayes, 1763; Laplace, 1812]:

\[
p(\theta \mid x) = \frac{p(x \mid \theta) \cdot p(\theta)}{p(x)}
\]

- **Likelihood of the data, given $\theta$** (‘traditional’)
- **Marginal likelihood of $x$** (independent from $\theta$)

- **Posterior distribution**
- **Prior distribution**

**The Bayes Theorem**

- In **Bayesian statistics, probability is interpreted subjectively**, as a measure of belief
Let $\mathbf{x}$ denote observed (past) values, and $\mathbf{x}^F$ – forecasted (future) values. The outcome of a Bayesian forecast is the whole predictive distribution, and not a single value.
3. Bayesian model selection and averaging

Bayesian Model Selection

- Let $M_1, \ldots, M_m$ be mutually exclusive (not nested) models adding up to the whole finite space of possible models, $\mathbf{M}$.
- Let $p(M_1), \ldots, p(M_m)$ be the models’ prior probabilities, e.g.:
  - Flat prior (equal probabilities): $p(M_1) = \ldots = p(M_m)$
  - “Occam’s razor” prior, favouring simpler models with smaller numbers of parameters, $l_i$: $p(M_i) \propto 2^{-l_i}$
- For forecasting, a model with highest posterior probability is selected on the basis of the Bayes Theorem:
  \[
p(M_i \mid \mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(M_i) \cdot p(\mathbf{x} \mid M_i)}{\sum_{k \in \mathbf{M}} p(M_k) \cdot p(\mathbf{x} \mid M_k)}
  \]

[Hoeting et al., 1999; Osiewalski, 2001]
3. Bayesian model selection and averaging

Bayesian Model Averaging

- Under the same assumptions, the forecasted vector \( x^F \) given the data \( x \), averaged over the model space \( M \), is:

\[
\bar{p}(x^F | x) = \sum_{i \in M} p(M_i | x) \cdot p(x^F | x, M_i)
\]

Averaged predictive distribution

Model posterior probability in the \( i \)-th model

- Rationale for use in migration (population) forecasts:
  - There is no evidence, whether simpler or more complex models perform better, but the forecast accuracy can be potentially improved by combining various forecasts [cf. Ahlburg, 1995; Smith, 1997]
  - Existing Bayesian migration forecasts are scarce [Gorbey et al., 1999]
  - There is a non-Bayesian example of an averaged migration forecast in the recent projections of the Eurostat [Lanzieri / EUROPOP, 2004]
4. Empirical examples of migration forecasts

Aim
To forecast long-term migration between Germany and three countries: Italy, Poland and Switzerland by 2010

Data
- Forecasted variable: logarithms of emigration rates per 1,000 population of the sending country (denoted by $m_t$)
- Sources of data: population – Eurostat; migration – data of a country with higher numbers (usually Germany)
- Population stocks include post-census adjustments
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Models

• $M_1$: random oscillations around a constant
  \[ m_t = c + \varepsilon_t \]

• $M_2$: random walk with drift
  \[ m_t = c + m_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \]

• $M_3$: autoregressive process AR(1)
  \[ m_t = c + \phi m_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad \phi \neq 0, \phi \neq 1 \]

• $M_4$: moving average process MA(1)
  \[ m_t = c - \theta \varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad \theta \neq 0 \]

• $M_5$: autoregressive moving average process ARMA(1)
  \[ m_t = c + \phi m_{t-1} - \theta \varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad \phi \neq 0, \theta \neq 0 \]
4. Empirical examples of migration forecasts

Other remarks

• Sample distribution: Normal, $\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
• Prior distributions for parameters:
  – Constants: diffuse, $N(0, 100^2)$ – hardly informative
  – Parameters of the AR / MA components: $N(0.5, 1^2)$
  – Variance ($\sigma^2$): Gamma($0.5, 0.5$) – low precision assumed
• Estimation: numerical simulation using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC), with 10,000 iterations in the burn-in phase and further 100,000 used in the estimation
• Software: WinBUGS 1.4 [Spiegelhalter et al., 2003]
• Convergence assessment: visual inspection of quantiles
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Model probabilities: prior and posterior (estimated)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model (M)</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>Σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior probabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) Non-informative prior, ( p(M) \propto \text{const.} )</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) ‘Occam’s razor’ prior, ( p(M) \propto 2^{-(l)} )</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration from Italy to Germany, ( m_{IT-DE} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(M</td>
<td>x), ) prior (A)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(M</td>
<td>x), ) prior (B)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration from Germany to Italy, ( m_{DE-IT} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(M</td>
<td>x), ) prior (A)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(M</td>
<td>x), ) prior (B)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration from Poland to Germany, ( m_{PL-DE} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(M</td>
<td>x), ) prior (A)</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(M</td>
<td>x), ) prior (B)</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration from Germany to Poland, ( m_{DE-PL} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(M</td>
<td>x), ) prior (A)</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(M</td>
<td>x), ) prior (B)</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration from Switzerland to Germany, ( m_{CH-DE} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(M</td>
<td>x), ) prior (A)</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(M</td>
<td>x), ) prior (B)</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration from Germany to Switzerland, ( m_{DE-CH} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(M</td>
<td>x), ) prior (A)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(M</td>
<td>x), ) prior (B)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Results: predictive distributions (selected)

a) Logs of emigration rates Poland-Germany  

b) Logs of emigration rates Germany-Switzerland
4. Empirical examples of migration forecasts

Results: quantiles from predictive distributions

a) Emigration rates Poland-Germany  
b) Emigration rates Germany-Switzerland

Model prior:
- flat
- Occam’s razor
5. Concluding remarks

- **Bayesian model selection and averaging techniques allow to reduce uncertainty of model specification**
- **General advantages of Bayesian approach in forecasting:**
  - Inherent analysis of forecasts’ uncertainty: predictive distributions
  - Formal and explicit incorporation of expert judgement in stochastic forecasts in the form of prior distributions
  - Methodology suitable for small samples (short series)
  - Subjective interpretation of probability allows for avoiding some interpretation problems, including the repeatable sample assumption
- **The major disadvantage: computational complexity**
  Solution: numerical methods (MCMC), software freely available
- **Possible paths of further research:**
  - A wider class of models, including other explanatory variables
  - Robustness against changes in prior distributions
Thank you for your attention!